### Review Letter

# Receptor-phosphoinositidase C coupling

## Multiple G-proteins?

#### William W.Y. Lo and John Hughes

Parke-Davis Research Unit, Addenbrookes Hospital Site, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2QB, England

Received 14 August 1987

Recent evidence has suggested that receptor-mediated phosphoinositide turnover, like that of the adenylate cyclase cAMP pathway, is regulated by guanine nucleotides. It is likely that one or more guanine nucleotide-binding proteins (G-proteins) couple calcium-mobilizing receptors to the activation of phosphoinositidase C. Recent studies utilizing various bacterial toxins have strongly suggested the presence of multiple G-proteins in the regulation of receptor-phosphoinositidase C coupling in a variety of cell types.

G-protein; Phosphoinositidase C; Phosphoinositide; Pertussis toxin; Cholera toxin; ras ocogene

In 1984, Berridge and Irvine [1] wrote that "...the well established role of GTP-binding proteins in controlling cAMP production may thus be extended to include the regulation of other transduction mechanisms such as inositol trisphosphate formation by calcium-mobilizing receptors...". Since then, a large number of reports have accumulated which strongly suggest a role for a GTP-binding protein (G-protein) in receptor-mediated phosphoinositidase C-activated polyphosphoinositide metabolism [2]. Although it is now clear that a G-protein is essential for phosphoinositidase C activation, unlike that responsible for adenylate cyclase activation the identity of this G-protein is still uncertain.

Bacterial toxins have proved to be useful tools to distinguish the G-proteins of the adenylate cyclase system. The toxins from *Vibrio cholerae* and *Bordetella pertussis* ADP-ribosylate G-proteins. While pertussis toxin ADP-ribosylates  $G_i$  and thus impairs the receptor-mediated inhibition of cyclase, cholera toxin ADP-ribosylates  $G_s$  which

Correspondence address: W.W.Y. Lo, Parke-Davis Research Unit, Addenbrookes Hospital Site, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 2QB, England leads to persistent stimulation of cyclase [3]. Using these two toxins as probes to identify the G-protein that regulates phosphoinositide response, it seems that there are at least three (or even more) putative G-proteins involved in receptor-phosphoinositidase C coupling (table 1).

The first, G<sub>P</sub> is a substrate for neither pertussis toxin nor cholera toxin and is likely to be coupled to muscarinic receptors in astrocytoma cells [4] and cardiac myocytes [5]; angiotensin, vasopressin and  $\alpha_1$ -adrenergic receptors in rat liver membranes [6]; TRH receptors in GH<sub>3</sub> cells [7] and thrombin receptors in fibroblasts [8]. In addition, the GTPbinding protein encoded by ras (proto)oncogenes may also be involved in receptor-linked inositol phospholipid hydrolysis. When overexpressed, the p21 protein encoded by the N-ras protooncogene of normal, non-transformed cells greatly amplifies phosphoinositidase C activation to bombesin, vasopressin, bradykinin and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) [9]. (It is important to note that the analogy between ras gene products and other G-proteins only applies to the  $\alpha$ -subunits of the latter. A ras-specific equivalent of the  $\beta/\gamma$ subunits of other G-proteins has not been identified nor does p21 interact with  $\beta/\gamma$ -subunits of

Table 1

Possible G-proteins involved in receptor-phosphoinositidase C coupling in some cell types

G-protein	Toxin substrate	Receptor	Cell type
G <sub>P</sub>	_	muscarinic [4] angiotensin II,	astrocytoma cells
		vasopressin [6] TRH [7]	liver membranes GH <sub>3</sub> cells
$G_o,\ G_i$ or $G_o/G_i$ -like	pertussis	f-Met-Leu-Phe	HL-60 cells
	toxin	[14–16]	PMN leukocytes neutrophils
		compound 48/80 [17]	mast cells
		thrombin [19]	CCL39 cells
		bradykinin [20]	F-11 cells
G <sub>c</sub>	cholera toxin	T-cell antigen [22] CCK-8, muscarinic,	Jurkat cells
		bradykinin [25,26] secretin [24] vasopressin <sup>a</sup>	Flow 9000 cells pancreatic acinar cells WRK-1 cells

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Kirk, C.J. (personal communication)

other G-proteins [10].) Since p21 is a substrate of neither cholera toxin nor pertussis toxin [11], it is possible that p21 may represent the putative G<sub>P</sub> protein. However, it must be emphasized that opposite effects on receptor-phosphoinositidase C coupling have been observed in some other cell systems when overexpressed with the ras gene(s). Benjamin et al. [12] showed that in NIH-3T3 cells transfected with the ras oncogene isolated from EJ human carcinoma (EJ-ras) there was a reduction of PDGF-stimulated phosphoinositidase C activity. Similarly, a loss of PDGF receptor-activated phosphoinositidase C activity was observed in NIH-3T3 cells transfected with the v-Ha-gene [13]. Additional evidence must be provided to explain these discrepancies and to establish the role of the ras gene product in receptor-mediated phosphoinositide metabolism.

In contrast, activation of phosphoinositidase C by chemotactic peptide in HL-60 cells [14], human polymorphonuclear leukocytes [15] and rabbit neutrophil [16], by compound 48/80 in mast cells [17], by  $\alpha_1$ -adrenergic agonists in adipocytes [18], by thrombin in hamster fibroblastic CCL39 cells [19] and by bradykinin in clonal F-11 dorsal root ganglion hybrid cells [20] is inhibited by pertussis

toxin pretreatment. These data suggest that the pertussis toxin substrate  $G_i$ ,  $G_o$  or a  $G_i/G_o$ -like G-protein may be involved in the phosphoinositide response in some cell types. Indirect evidence from Snyder's laboratory showed that immunohistochemical localizations of  $G_o$  and protein kinase C correspond in many areas of the brain suggesting a potential role for  $G_o$  in the regulation of the phosphoinositide response [21]. Reconstitution experiments using purified  $G_o$  or  $G_i$  will provide valuable information to the above observations.

A novel cholera toxin-sensitive G-protein (G<sub>c</sub>) might also be involved in mediating receptor-phosphoinositidase C coupling. Using the T-cell line Jurkat, Imboden and his colleagues reported that cholera toxin inhibits T-cell antigen receptor-mediated increases in both inositol trisphosphate level and cytoplasmic calcium concentration [22]. In the mouse macrophage cell line RAW264, cholera toxin has been shown to inhibit chemotaxis via a cAMP-independent mechanism, possibly through impairment of the PI response [23]. Moreover, secretin receptor-stimulated inositol trisphosphate formation in rat pancreatic acinar tissues was also inhibited by cholera toxin treatment [24]. In our laboratory, we found that

pretreatment of Flow 9000 cells (a human embryonic pituitary cell line) with cholera toxin (but not pertussis toxin) produces a dose-dependent inhibition of cholecystokinin, acetylcholine and bradykinin stimulation of inositol phosphate formation [25,26]. Cholera toxin treatment did not affect GTP analogue-induced inositol phosphate accumulation in permeabilized Flow 9000 cells but inhibited the GTP[S] potentiation of hormonal responses, indicating that the impairment is not at the GTP- or phosphoinositidase C-binding sites on G<sub>c</sub> but influences the site responsible for receptor-G<sub>c</sub> coupling. In WRK-1 mammary tumour cells, vasopressin-activated PIP2 hydrolysis is mediated via a G-protein [27]. Pretreatment of WRK-1 cells with cholera toxin, but not with pertussis toxin, produced a dose-dependent inhibition on vasopressin-induced inositol phosphate accumulation (Kirk, C.J., University of Birmingham, personal communication). These results thus provide further evidence for the involvement of a novel cholera toxin-sensitive G-protein in inositol phospholipid signalling. In all of the above observations, the cholera toxin effects cannot be mimicked by cAMP-generating agents indicating that these effects are not a consequence of ADPribosylation of G<sub>s</sub>.

Taken together, these findings indicate that at least three different G-proteins are responsible for regulating receptor-phosphoinositidase C coupling. Structural evidence similar to that achieved for the G-proteins coupling to adenylate cyclase [11] will be required to confirm these observations.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

W.W.Y.L. is a Commonwealth Scholar and a Bye-Fellow at Downing College, Cambridge.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Berridge, M.J. and Irvine, R.F. (1984) Nature 312, 315-321.
- [2] Taylor, C.W. and Merritt, J.E. (1986) Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 7, 238-242.
- [3] Gilman, A.G. (1986) Trends Neurosci. 9, 460-463.
- [4] Hepler, J.R. and Harden, T.K. (1986) Biochem. J. 239, 141-146.
- [5] Masters, S.B., Martin, M.W., Harden, T.K. and Brown, J.H. (1985) Biochem. J. 227, 933-937.
- [6] Lynch, C.J., Prpic, V., Blackmore, P.F. and

- Exton, J.H. (1986) J. Pharm. Exp. Therap. 29, 196-203.
- [7] Straub, R.E. and Gershengorn, M.C. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 2712-2717.
- [8] Murayama, T. and Ui, M. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 7226-7233.
- [9] Wakelam, M.J.O., Davies, S.A., Houslay, M.D., McKay, I., Marshall, C.J. and Hall, A. (1986) Nature 323, 173-176.
- [10] Broek, D., Samiy, N., Fasano, O., Fujijama, A., Tamanoi, F., Northup, J. and Wigler, M. (1985) Cell 41, 763-769.
- [11] Gilman, A.G. (1986) Trends Neurosci. 9, 460-463.
- [12] Benjamin, C.W., Tarpley, W.G. and Gorman, R.R. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 546-550.
- [13] Parries, G., Hoebel, R. and Racker, E. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 2648-2652.
- [14] Kikuchi, A., Kozawa, O., Kaibuchi, K., Katada, T., Ui, M. and Takai, Y. (1986) J. Biol. Chem. 261, 11558-11562.
- [15] Smith, C.D., Lane, B.C., Kusaka, I., Verghese, M.W. and Snyderman, R. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 5875-5878.
- [16] Volpi, M., Naccache, P.H., Molski, T.F.P., Shefcyk, J., Huang, C.-K., Marsh, M.L., Munoz, J., Becker, E.L. and Sha'afi, R.I. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 2708-2712.
- [17] Nakamura, T. and Ui, M. (1985) J. Biol. Chem. 260, 3584-3593.
- [18] Moreno, F.J., Mills, I., Garcia-Sainz, J.A. and Fain, J.N. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 258, 10938-10943.
- [19] Paris, S. and Pouyssegur, J. (1986) EMBO J. 5, 55-60.
- [20] Francel, P.C., Millar, R.J. and Dawson, G. (1987) J. Neurochem. 48, 1632–1639.
- [21] Worley, P.F., Baraban, J.M., Van Dop, C., Neer, E.J. and Snyder, S.H. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 4561-4565.
- [22] Imboden, J.B., Shoback, D.M., Pattison, G. and Stobo, J.D. (1986) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 83, 5673-5677.
- [23] Aksamit, R.R., Backlund, P.S. jr and Cantoni, G.L. (1985) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82, 7475-7479.
- [24] Trimble, E.R., Bruzzone, R., Biden, T.J., Meehan, C.J., Andrea, D. and Merrifield, R.B. (1987) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 3146-3150.
- [25] Lo, W.W.Y. and Hughes, J. (1987) FEBS Lett., 220, 327–331.
- [26] Lo, W.W.Y. and Hughes, J. (1987) Biochem. Soc. Trans. 15, 1153.
- [27] Guillon, G., Balestre, M.N., Mouillac, B. and Devilliers, G. (1986) FEBS Lett. 196, 155–159.